United States Patent [19]
Bayer et al.

[11] Patent Number: 4,744,027
[45] Date of Patent: May 10, 1988

[54] **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR OPTIMIZING SYSTEM OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS**

[75] Inventors: **David A. Bayer**, New York, N.Y.; **Narendra K. Karmarkar**, North Plainfield; **Jeffrey C. Lagarias**, Summit, both of N.J.

[73] Assignee: **American Telephone and Telegraph Company, AT&T Bell Laboratories**, Murray Hill, N.J.

[21] Appl. No.: **899,191**

[22] Filed: **Aug. 22, 1986**

[51] **Int. Cl.**[4] **G06F 15/20**; H04Q 3/66; H04M 7/00

[52] **U.S. Cl.** **364/402**; 379/221; 379/113; 340/827

[58] **Field of Search** 364/402; 379/113, 221; 340/827

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,345,116	8/1982	Ash et al.	379/221
4,669,113	5/1987	Ash et al.	379/221
4,704,724	11/1987	Krishnan et al.	379/221

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Linear Programming and Extensions, G. B. Danzig, 1963, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., pp. 156–166.

"A Polynomial Algorithm in Linear Programming," Doklady Akademiia Nauk SSSR, 224:S, L. G. Khachiyan, 1979, (translated in 20 *Soviet Mathematics Doklady* 1, pp. 191–194, 1979).

"The Ellipsoid Method: A Survey," *Operations Research*, vol. 29, No. 6, R. G. Bland et al., 1981, pp. 1039–1091.

"A New Polynomial-Time Algorithm for Linear Programming," *Proceedings of the ACM Symp. on Theory of Computer*, N. K. Karmarkar, Apr. 30, 1984, pp. 302–311.

"The Ellipsoid Method and Its Consequences in Combinatorial Optimization," *Combinatorica*, 1(2), Grotschel et al., 1981, pp. 169–197.

Primary Examiner—Joseph F. Ruggiero
Assistant Examiner—Charles B. Meyer
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Henry T. Brendzel

[57] **ABSTRACT**

Method and apparatus for optimizing the operational state of a system employing iterative steps that approximately follow a projective scaling trajectory or an affine scaling trajectory, or curve, in computing from its present state, x_0 to a next state x_1 toward the optimum state. The movement is made in a transformed space where the present (transformed) state of the system is at the center of the space, and the curve approximation is in the form of a power series in the step size. The process thus develops a sequence of tentative states x_1, x_2, x_n . . . . It halts when a selected suitable stopping criterion is satisfied, and assigns the most recent tentative state as the optimized operating state of the system.

12 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets